FILED

COUGHLIN DUFFY LLP
350 Mount Kemble Avenue
P.O. Box 1917
Morristown, New Jersey 07962-1917
(973) 267-0058
Attorneys for Defendants AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals LP,
AstraZeneca LP, KBI Sub Inc., Zeneca Inc., and Astra USA, Inc.

JUL 18 2008

Judge Jamie D. Happas

GLENDA THOMPSON,

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY LAW DIVISION: MIDDLESEX COUNTY

Plaintiff,

DOCKET NO. MID-L-10567-07-MT

v.

CIVIL ACTION

ASTRAZENECA PHARMACEUTICALS LP, et al.,

In Re Risperdal/Seroquel/Zyprexa Litigation

Case No. 274

Defendants.

ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE

RETURN DATE: 18, 2008

THIS MATTER having been brought before the Court by Coughlin Duffy LLP, attorneys for Defendants AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals LP, AstraZeneca LP, KBI Sub Inc., Zeneca Inc., and Astra USA, Inc. (collectively "AstraZeneca") to dismiss plaintiff's Complaint with prejudice for failure to serve a Short Form Plaintiff Fact Sheet pursuant to Case Management Order No. 4 ("CMO 4"), § II.G.; such dismissal with prejudice being authorized by Case Management Order No. 4A ("CMO 4A), § II.H.2. in the event a plaintiff fails to vacate an Order of Dismissal without prejudice after the expiration of ninety (90) days from the order of dismissal without prejudice (in this case, on or before June 6, 2008); plaintiff's Complaint having been dismissed without prejudice on March 7, 2008; plaintiff having failed to serve a Short Form

Plaintiff Fact Sheet and having failed to vacate the March 7, 2008 Order of Dismissal without prejudice on or before June 6, 2008; and for good cause shown;

IT IS on this 6 day of 10, 2008;

ORDERED that AstraZeneca's motion is hereby GRANTED and that plaintiff's Complaint is DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE as to all parties – pursuant to CMO 4, §II.G. and CMO 4A, §II.H.2.; and it is further

ORDERED that a signed copy of this Order be served on all counsel within seven (7) days of the date hereof; and it is further

Unopposed
Opposed

Honorable Jamie D. Happas, J.S.C.

Having reviewed the above motion, I find it to be meritorious on its face and is unopposed. Pursuant to R.1:6-2, it therefore will be granted essentially for the reasons set forth in the moving papers.